GOOD AND SPECIAL WPO PROPERTIES FOR BERNSTEIN OPERATORS IN P VARIABLES

Loredana-Florentina Galea

Faculty of Law and Economics, The Agora University of Oradea

loredana.galea@univagora.ro

Abstract In 1969, D. D. Stancu have introduced the Bernstein operators with arguments real functions in p variables. Using weakly Picard operators and contraction principle, C. Bacotiu studied the convergence of these operators' iterates. In the present paper good and special convergence for Bernstein operators in p variables are investigated.

Keywords: weakly Picard operators, Bernstein operators, good and special weakly Picard operators.

2000 MSC: 47H10, 41A36.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [8], D.D. Stancu has introduced the Bernstein operators in p variables, like as:

Definition 1.1. [8]. Consider the set

$$\overline{\Delta} = \{(x_1,x_2,...,x_{p+}) \in \mathbb{R}^p : x_1 \geqslant 0, \ x_2 \geqslant 0,...,x_p \geqslant 0; \ x_1+x_2+...+x_p \leqslant 1\}$$

The operators $B_n: C(\overline{\Delta}) \to C(\overline{\Delta})$ defined by

$$B_n(f)(x_1,...,x_p) = \sum_{0 \le i_1 + ... + i_p \le n} p_{n;i_1,...,i_p}(x_1,...,x_p) f\left(\frac{i_1}{n},...,\frac{i_p}{n}\right)$$

for any $f \in C(\overline{\Delta})$ and $(x_1,...,x_p) \in \overline{\Delta}$ are called Bernstein operators with arguments real functions in p variables.

The polynomials $p_{n;i_1,...,i_p}$ are generalizations of Bernstein fundamental polynomials and are defined by

$$p_{n;i_1,\dots,i_p}(x_1,\dots,x_p) = \frac{n!}{i_1!i_2!\dots i_p!} x_1^{i_1}\dots x_p^{i_p} (1-x_1-x_2-\dots-x_p)^{n-i_1-i_2-\dots-i_p}$$

for any $(x_1, ..., x_p) \in \overline{\Delta}$.

The set $\nu_{\overline{\Delta}} = \{(0,0,...,0), (0,1,...,0), ..., (0,0,...,1)\} \subset \overline{\Delta}$ represents the set of knots and if $\alpha_0 = (0,0,...,0), \alpha_1 = (0,1,...,0), ..., \alpha_p = (0,0,...,1)$, then $\nu_{\overline{\Delta}} = \{\alpha_k : k = \overline{0,p}\}.$

In the following, we present some properties of Bernstein operators in p variables, which were studied in [8]:

- (P1) B_n are linear and positive;
- (P2) for any $k = \overline{0,p}$, $e_{\alpha k}$ is a fixed point for B_n , so

$$B_n(e_{\alpha k})(x_1,...,x_p) = e_{\alpha k}(x_1,...,x_p), \ \forall \ (x_1,...,x_p) \in \overline{\Delta};$$

(P3) B_n is an interpolation for any $f \in C(\overline{\Delta})$ and for the knots of $\nu_{\overline{\Delta}}$, so

$$B_n(f)(\alpha_k) = f(\alpha_k), \forall k = \overline{0, p}.$$

Definition 1.2. [5], [6], [7]. Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- 1) An operator $A: X \to X$ is weakly Picard operator (WPO) if the sequence of successive approximations $(A^m(x_0))_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges for all $x_0 \in X$ and the limit (which may depend on x_0) is a fixed point of A.
- 2) If the operator $A: X \to X$ is an WPO and $F_A = \{x^*\}$, then the operator A is called a Picard operator (PO).
- 3) If the operator $A: X \to X$ is an WPO, then the operator A^{∞} is defined by $A^{\infty}: X \to X, \ A^{\infty}(x) := \lim_{m \to \infty} A^m(x).$

The basic result in the WPO's theory is the following characterization theorem

Theorem 1.1. [5], [6], [7]. An operator $A: X \to X$ is WPO if and only if there exists a partition of X, $X = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$, such that:

- (a) $X_{\lambda} \in I(A)$, $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$;
- (b) $A|_{X_{\lambda}}: X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}$ is $PO, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$.

In [7], I.A. Rus has introduced the notions of good and special WPO

Definition 1.3. Let (X,d) be a metric space and $A: X \to X$ an WPO. 1) $A: X \to X$ is a good WPO, if the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} d\left(A^{m-1}(x), A^m(x)\right)$ converges, for all $x \in X$ [7]. If the sequence $\left(d\left(A^{m-1}(x), A^m(x)\right)\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is strictly decreasing for all $x \in X$, the operator A is a good WPO of type M [2].

2) $A: X \to X$ is a special WPO, if the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} d(A^m(x), A^{\infty}(x))$ converges, for all $x \in X$ [7]. If the sequence $(d(A^m(x), A^{\infty}(x)))_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is strictly decreasing for all $x \in X$, A is a special WPO of type M [2].

Theorem 1.2. [4]. Let (X,d) be a metric space and $A: X \to X$ a WPO. If A is a special WPO then A is a good WPO.

Theorem 1.3. [3]. The Bernstein operators in p variables $B_n: C(\overline{\Delta}) \to C(\overline{\Delta})$ are weakly Pixard and $B_n^{\infty}(f) = \varphi_f^*, \forall f \in C(\overline{\Delta})$, where the function $f \in C(\overline{\Delta})$ are given by

$$\varphi_{f}^{*}(x_{1},...,x_{p}) = f(\alpha_{0}) + [f(\alpha_{1}) - f(\alpha_{0})] x_{1} + [f(\alpha_{2}) - f(\alpha_{0})] x_{2} + ... + [f(\alpha_{p}) - f(\alpha_{0})] x_{p}, \ \forall \ f \in C(\overline{\Delta}), \ \forall \ (x_{1},...,x_{p}) \in \overline{\Delta}.$$

The convergence is in the space $C\left(\overline{\Delta}\right)$.

In order to apply the characterization theorem of weakly Picard operators, the partition of space $C(\overline{\Delta})$

$$C\left(\overline{\Delta}\right) := \bigcup_{\Lambda \subset \mathbb{D}^{p+1}} X_{\Lambda}$$

was considered, where $X_{\Lambda} := \{ f \in C(\overline{\Delta}) : f(\alpha_k) = \lambda_k, \text{ for } k = \overline{0,p} \}$, for any $\Lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$.

Proposition 1.1. [3]. The Bernstein operators in p variables satisfy the following contraction property

$$||B_n(f) - B_n(g)||_C \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\right) ||f - g||_C, \forall f, g \in X_\Lambda.$$
 (1)

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we study the good and special weakly Picard operators convergence for Bernstein operators in p variables.

Using the inequality (1), we obtain the estimation

$$\left| B_n^1(f)(x_1, ..., x_p) - B_n^{\infty}(f)(x_1, ..., x_p) \right| =$$

$$= \left| B_n^1(f)(x_1, ..., x_p) - B_n^1(B_n^{\infty}(f))(x_1, ..., x_p) \right| \le$$

$$\leqslant \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\right) |f\left(x_{1}, ..., x_{p}\right) - B_{n}^{\infty}\left(f\right)\left(x_{1}, ..., x_{p}\right)| =$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\right) |f\left(x_{1}, ..., x_{p}\right) - \left\{f\left(\alpha_{0}\right) + \left[f\left(\alpha_{1}\right) - f\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right] x_{1} + ... + \left[f\left(\alpha_{p}\right) - f\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right] x_{p}\right\}| \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\right) (p+1) C, \ \forall \ (x_{1}, ..., x_{p}) \in \overline{\Delta},$$
where $C = diam\left(\operatorname{Im} f\right) = diam\left(f\left(\overline{\Delta}\right)\right) =$

$$= \max\left\{|f\left(x_{1}, ..., x_{p}\right) - f\left(y_{1}, ..., y_{p}\right)| : (x_{1}, ..., x_{p}), (y_{1}, ..., y_{p}) \in \overline{\Delta}\right\}.$$

$$|B_{n}^{2}\left(f\right)(x_{1}, ..., x_{p}) - B_{n}^{\infty}\left(f\right)(x_{1}, ..., x_{p})| =$$

$$= |B_{n}^{1}\left(B_{n}^{1}\left(f\right)\right)(x_{1}, ..., x_{p}) - B_{n}^{1}\left(B_{n}^{\infty}\left(f\right)\right)(x_{1}, ..., x_{p})| \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\right) ||B_{n}^{1}\left(f\right) - B_{n}^{\infty}\left(f\right)|| = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\right)^{2}(p+1) C, \ \forall \ (x_{1}, ..., x_{p}) \in \overline{\Delta}.$$

By induction, for $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$|B_{n}^{m}(f)(x_{1},...,x_{p}) - B_{n}^{\infty}(f)(x_{1},...,x_{p})| =$$

$$= |B_{n}^{1}(B_{n}^{m-1}(f))(x_{1},...,x_{p}) - B_{n}^{1}(B_{n}^{\infty}(f))(x_{1},...,x_{p})| \leq$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\right)^{m}(p+1)C, \ \forall \ (x_{1},...,x_{p}) \in \overline{\Delta}.$$

So,
$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |B_n^m(f)(x_1,...,x_p) - B_n^{\infty}(f)(x_1,...,x_p)| \le C(p+1)(p^{n-1}-1)$$
, $\forall (x_1,...,x_p) \in \overline{\Delta}$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B_n^1 \left(f \right) \left(x_1, ..., x_p \right) - B_n^0 \left(f \right) \left(x_1, ..., x_p \right) \right| &= \\ &= \left| \sum_{0 \leqslant i_1 + ... + i_p \leqslant n} p_{n; i_1, i_2, ..., i_p} \left(x_1, ..., x_p \right) f \left(\frac{i_1}{n}, ..., \frac{i_p}{n} \right) - f \left(x_1, ..., x_p \right) \right| &= \\ &= C \cdot \sum_{0 \leqslant i_1 + ... + i_p \leqslant n} p_{n; i_1, i_2, ..., i_p} \left(x_1, ..., x_p \right) &= C, \ \forall \ \left(x_1, ..., x_p \right) \in \overline{\Delta} \ . \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have

$$\left| B_n^m(f)(x_1, ..., x_p) - B_n^{m-1}(f)(x_1, ..., x_p) \right| =$$

$$= \left| B_n^1(B_n^{m-1}(f))(x_1, ..., x_p) - B_n^1(B_n^{m-2}(f))(x_1, ..., x_p) \right| \le$$

$$\leqslant \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\right)^{m-1} \cdot C, \ \forall \ (x_1, ..., x_p) \in \overline{\Delta}.$$

So,
$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| B_n^m \left(f \right) \left(x_1, ..., x_p \right) - B_n^{m-1} \left(f \right) \left(x_1, ..., x_p \right) \right| \leqslant C \cdot p^{n-1},$$

$$\forall \left(x_1, ..., x_p \right) \in \overline{\Delta}, \ \forall \ f \in C \left(\overline{\Delta} \right).$$

From above results, we have the following

Proposition 2.1. The Bernstein operators in p variables are special weakly Picard and good weakly Picard on $C(\overline{\Delta})$.

References

- [1] L. D'Apuzzo, On the convergence of the method of succesive approximations in metric spaces, Ann. Istit. Univ. Navale Napoli, 45/46(1976/1977). (in Italian).
- [2] L. D'Apuzzo, On the notion of good and special convergence of the method of succesive approximations, Ann. Istit. Univ. Navale Napoli, 45/46(1976/1977), 123-138. (in Italian).
- [3] C. Bacotiu, Picard operators and application, PhD Thesis, Univ. Babes-Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca, 2004. (in Romanian).
- [4] S. Mureşan, L. Galea, On good and special weakly Picard operators (submitted).
- [5] I. A. Rus, Generalized contractions and applications, Cluj University Press, 2001. (in Romanian).
- [6] I. A. Rus, Weakly Picard operators and applications, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Cluj-Napoca, 2(2000), 41-58.
- [7] I. A. Rus, Picard operators and applications, Sci. Math.Japon., 58(1)(2003), 191-219.
- [8] D. D. Stancu, A new class of uniform approximating polynomial operators in two and several variables, Proc. Conf. on Constructive Theory of functions, Budapest, (1969), 443-455.